On nonexistence of Feller semigroups in the nontransversal case

Pavel Gurevich[1]

Abstract

We give three examples of second-order elliptic operators with nonlocal boundary conditions of the Ventsel type that admit a closure in the space of continuous functions, but do not generate a Feller semigroup (i.e., a strongly continuous contractive nonnegative semigroup).

arXiv:1406.6190v1 [math.AP] 24 Jun 2014

The question of existence of a strongly continuous contractive nonnegative semigroup (Feller semigroup) of operators acting between the spaces of continuous functions arises in the theory of Markov processes. Feller semigroups describe (from the probabilistic viewpoint) the motion of a Markov particle in a region. A general form of a generator of such a semigroup on an interval was investigated in [1]. In the multidimensional case, it was proved that the generator of a Feller semigroup is an elliptic differential operator (possibly with degeneration) whose domain of definition consists of continuous functions satisfying nonlocal conditions which involve an integral over the closure of the region with respect to a nonnegative Borel measure [2]. The inverse problem remains open: given an elliptic integro-differential operator whose domain of definition is described by nonlocal boundary conditions, whether or not the closure of this operator is a generator of a Feller semigroup. The order of nonlocal terms is less than the order of local terms in the *transversal* case [3–7], and these orders coincide in the more difficult *nontransversal* case [7] (see also the bibliography therein).

In [8], it was given an example of nonlocal operator (involving a transformation of the boundary into itself) whose closure is not a generator of a Feller semigroup. Here we give three examples of nonexistence of Feller semigroups in the cases where transformations $\Omega(y)$ (in *nontransversal* nonlocal conditions) map the boundary inside the region. For any y on the boundary, the above Borel measure is the delta function supported at the point $\Omega(y)$ from the closure of the region. We note that Conditions 3.3 and 3.6 from [7] fail in our first and second examples and Conditions 3.5 and 3.9 from [7] fail in our third example.

1. "Jumps" with nonzero probability to outside of a neighborhood of process-termination points. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded region with smooth boundary $\partial G = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \mathcal{K}$, where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are C^∞ curves open and connected in the topology of ∂G such that $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \varnothing$ and $\overline{\Gamma_1} \cap \overline{\Gamma_2} = \mathcal{K}$; the set $\mathcal{K}$ consists of two points g_1 and g_2. We assume that the region G coincides with the plane angle of opening π in some ε-neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_i)$ of the point g_i, $i = 1, 2$.

Consider the following nonlocal condition:

$$u(y) - b_1(y)u(\Omega_1(y)) = 0,\ y \in \Gamma_1; \qquad u(y) = 0,\ y \in \overline{\Gamma_2}, \tag{1}$$

where $b_1 \in C^\infty(\overline{\Gamma_1})$, $0 \le b_1(y) \le 1$, $b_1(y) = \text{const} > 0$ for $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(g_1)$, $b_1(y) = 0$ for $y \notin \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_1)$; Ω_1 is a smooth nondegenerate transformation defined on a neighborhood of the curve $\overline{\Gamma_1}$, $\Omega_1(\Gamma_1) \subset G$, $\Omega_1(g_1) \in G$, and $\Omega_1(y)$ is the composition of rotation about the point g_1 and shift by some vector for $y \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_1)$. Probabilistically, the Dirichlet condition means that the Markov particle is absorbed (the process terminates) as it reaches the boundary at the point $y \in \overline{\Gamma_2}$; the nonlocal condition means that the particle "jumps" from the point $y \in \Gamma_1$ to the point $\Omega_1(y) \in G$ with probability $b_1(y)$ after some random time.

[1]This research was supported by Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project No. 07-01-00268) and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

We consider the unbounded operator $\mathbf{P}_1 : \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{P}_1) \subset C_1(\overline{G}) \to C_1(\overline{G})$ given by

$$\mathbf{P}_1 u = \Delta u, \qquad u \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{P}_1) = \{u \in C_1(\overline{G}) : \Delta u \in C_1(\overline{G})\},$$

where $C_1(\overline{G})$ is the set of functions from $C(\overline{G})$ satisfying nonlocal conditions (1), Δ is the Laplace operator acting in the sense of distributions.

2. "Jumps" out from the conjugation points that are not process-termination points. Consider the following nonlocal condition:

$$u(y) - b_1(y)u(\Omega_1(y)) = 0,\ y \in \overline{\Gamma_1}; \qquad u(y) - b_2(y)u(\Omega_2(y)) = 0,\ y \in \Gamma_2, \tag{2}$$

where $b_j \in C^\infty(\overline{\Gamma_j})$, $0 \le b_j(y) \le 1$, $b_j(y) = b^* > 0$ for $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(g_1)$, $b_j(y) = 0$ for $y \notin \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_1)$; Ω_j is a smooth nondegenerate transformation defined on a neighborhood of the curve $\overline{\Gamma_j}$, $\Omega_j(\Gamma_j) \subset G$, $\Omega_j(g_1) \in G$, $\Omega_1(g_1) \ne \Omega_2(g_1)$, and $\Omega_j(y)$ is the composition of rotation about the point g_1 and shift by some vector for $y \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_1)$.

We consider the unbounded operator $\mathbf{P}_2 : \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{P}_2) \subset C_2(\overline{G}) \to C_2(\overline{G})$ given by

$$\mathbf{P}_2 u = \Delta u, \qquad u \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{P}_2) = \{u \in C_2(\overline{G}) : \Delta u \in C_2(\overline{G})\},$$

where $C_2(\overline{G})$ is the set of functions from $C(\overline{G})$ satisfying nonlocal conditions (2).

3. "Jumps" with probability one within a neighborhood of the process-termination points. Consider the following nonlocal condition:

$$u(y) - b_j(y)u(\Omega_j(y)) = 0,\ y \in \Gamma_j,\ j = 1, 2; \qquad u(y) = 0,\ y \in \mathcal{K}, \tag{3}$$

where $b_j \in C^\infty(\overline{\Gamma_j})$, $0 \le b_j(y) \le 1$, $b_j(y) = 1$ for $y \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon/2}(g_1)$, $b_j(y) = 0$ for $y \notin \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_1)$; Ω_j is a smooth nondegenerate transformation defined on a neighborhood of the curve $\overline{\Gamma_j}$, $\Omega_j(\Gamma_j) \subset G$, $\Omega_j(g_1) = g_1$, and $\Omega_j(y)$ is the rotation by the angle $\pi/2$ inwards the region G for $y \in \mathcal{O}_\varepsilon(g_1)$.

We consider the unbounded operator $\mathbf{P}_3 : \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{P}_3) \subset C_3(\overline{G}) \to C_3(\overline{G})$ given by

$$\mathbf{P}_3 u = \Delta u, \qquad u \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{P}_3) = \{u \in C_3(\overline{G}) : \Delta u \in C_3(\overline{G})\},$$

where $C_3(\overline{G})$ is the set of functions from $C(\overline{G})$ satisfying nonlocal conditions (3).

Theorem 1. *The operators $\mathbf{P}_j$ admit the closure $\overline{\mathbf{P}_j} : \mathrm{D}(\overline{\mathbf{P}_j}) \subset C_j(\overline{G}) \to C_j(\overline{G})$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$. The operators $\overline{\mathbf{P}_j}$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ are not generators of Feller semigroups.*

Remark 1. One can prove that $C_j(\overline{G}) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{P}_j - q\mathbf{I})} \ne \varnothing$ for sufficiently small $q > 0$. Hence, $C_j(\overline{G}) \setminus \mathcal{R}(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_j - q\mathbf{I}) \ne \varnothing$. Combining this fact with the Hille–Iosida theorem, we obtain Theorem 1.

The author is grateful to Prof. A.L. Skubachevskii for attention to this work.

References

[1] W. Feller, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **77** (1954), 1–30.

[2] A. D. Ventsel, *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primen.,* **4** (1959), 172–185; English transl.: *Theory Probab. Appl.*, **4** (1959).

[3] K. Sato, T. Ueno, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **4** (1965), 529–605.

[4] J. M. Bony, P. Courrege, P. Priouret, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (*Grenoble*), **18** (1968), 369–521.

[5] K. Taira, Boundary Value Problems, Feller Semigroups, and Markov Processes, *in* "Interaction between Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis, and Probability", **40**, Marcel Dekker, New York–Basel–Hong Kong, 1996.

[6] Y. Ishikawa, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **42** (1990), 171–184.

[7] E. I. Galakhov, A. L. Skubachevskii, *J. Differ. Equ.*, **176** (2001), 315–355.

[8] A. L. Skubachevskii, *Russian J. Math. Phys.*, **3**:3 (1995), 327–360.